

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 ROBIN M. LEE,

2:12-CV-884 JCM (GWF)

9 Plaintiff(s),

10 v.

11 PETER HO, et al.,

12 Defendant(s).
13

14 ORDER

15 Presently before the court is the matter of *Lee v. Ho, et al.*, case no. 2:12-cv-884-JCM-GWF.
16 Acting *pro se*, plaintiff Robin M. Lee has filed the instant motion of objection (doc. # 28) and
17 motion for an order of default judgment (doc. # 26).

18 On September 27, 2013, this court entered an order denying plaintiff's motion for leave to
19 amend. (Doc. # 27). In that order, the court again reiterated that the complaint had been dismissed
20 without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). (*Id.*). The court notified plaintiff for the *seventh*
21 time that the docket does not reflect that the defendants have been served. (*Id.*). The court again
22 denies plaintiff's motion for default judgment (doc. # 26) for this reason.

23 With respect to his objections (doc. # 28), plaintiff has provided no legitimate basis on which
24 this court may overrule its prior order (doc. # 27). In fact, plaintiff's objections are largely
25 incoherent, and the court cannot discern what, specifically, plaintiff is even objecting to.

26 ...

27 ...
28

1 Accordingly,


2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that plaintiff's motion for an
3 order of default judgment (doc. # 26) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion of objection (doc. # 28) be, and the same
5 hereby is, DENIED.

6 DATED February 25, 2014.

7

8


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28